2b. Open Systems (IO)

Open Systems

Outlook and
Opportunity

INPUT

IO-1

Open Systems Topics

- Definition
- Barriers
- Vendor Status
- Outlook

INPUT

IO-2

What Is An
"Open System"?

INPUT

IO-3

Open Systems: Definition

Technology Based
or
Function Based

INPUT

IO-4

Open Systems
User Agreement

Definition	Ranking
Products based on independent standards	3.9
Vendor-independent operating system	3.8
Public interface standards	3.8

1=Low, 5=High

INPUT

IO-5

Open Systems
User Agreement

Definition	Ranking
Competitively available processor architecture	3.8
Single-vendor operating system supported by multiple vendors	3.5

1=Low, 5=High

INPUT

IO-6

Open Systems
User Disagreement

Definition	Ranking
Code generator for multiple platforms	3.2
UNIX	3.2
Operating System accepts guest OSs	2.9
Operating System with variety of applications software	2.8

1=Low, 5=High

INPUT

Open Systems Are Not

- Technology based
  - MVS
  - UNIX
  - Code generators
- Access to applications software

INPUT

Open Systems Are

- Supported by standards
- Supported by de facto standards
  - MS/DOS or Windows
  - 386 processor
- Function oriented
  - Portable, interoperable
- Vendor independent

INPUT

Impact of Competing Standards on Open Systems

- Adds benefits 33%
- Removes most benefits 45%
- Removes some benefits 22%

INPUT

Open Systems
Portability—Definition

Data bases and applications can be moved from one operating environment to another with little or no modification.

INPUT

Open Systems
## Interoperability—Definition

Related to portability - applications and data that can be moved from one environment to another can also interact with each other

INPUT

Open Systems
Benefits of Interoperability

- Switch HW Vendors: 20 | 80
- Lower Prices: 30 | 80
- Run Apps. on Mult. O/S: 25 | 55

Legend:
- ▨ Important
- ■ Very Important

Percent of Respondents (0 20 40 60 80 100)

IO-13

INPUT

Interoperability Benefits from Multiplatform DBMS

- Low: 13%
- Medium: 31%
- High: 56%

IO-14

INPUT

Open Systems
Problems with Interoperability

Problem	Ranking
User responsible for multivendor installation	3.6
Price competition = poorer service	3.3
Low-cost hardware vendors survive	3.1
Hardware maintenance more complex	2.8

IO-15

INPUT

Barriers to Open Systems Acceptance

IO-16

INPUT

Open Systems
Significant Barriers

Barrier	Ranking
Conflicting standards	4.2
Lack of standards	3.7
Non-standard implementations	3.6
Lack of in-house skills	3.5

1=Low, 5=High

IO-17

INPUT

Open Systems
Less Significant Barriers

Barrier	Ranking
Lack of package applications	3.3
UNIX applications suitability	3.2
Lack of development tools	2.8
Lack of consultants	2.7
Lack of systems software	2.7

1=Low, 5=High

IO-18

INPUT

Benefits Outweigh Problems?

No (5%)

95%

Yes

IO-19

INPUT

Interoperability Implications

- Commodity pricing
- Multivendor installations
- Proprietary add-ons
- Maintenance opportunities

IO-20

INPUT

Vendor Independence

Portability and interoperability is across multivendor environments

IO-21

INPUT

Expected Open Systems Benefits

- Longer lived applications
- Fewer technology-caused modifications
- Reduced training—user and developer
- Reduced technology risks due to portability

IO-22

INPUT

Open Systems User Definition

Ease of Change

at

Lower Cost

IO-23

INPUT

Open Systems Vendor Positions

- IBM
- Digital Equipment
- NCR
- Other systems manufacturers

IO-24

INPUT

Open Systems and IBM

- Multiple approaches
  - SAA—proprietary openness
  - OS/2—control of the user interface
  - AD/Cycle—cross-environment foundation
  - RS/6000—UNIX-based success

INPUT

IO-25

Open Systems and IBM

- SAA—proprietary openness
  - Internally focused—hide historic problems
  - Late
  - AD/Cycle dependent
  - Customers—low expectations

INPUT

IO-26

Open Systems and IBM

- OS/2—control of the user interface
  - Overshadowed by Windows
  - OS/2 1.3 missed the target
  - OS/2 2.0 changes the game
    - Performance exceeds Windows
    - Reliability targeted very high
  - Position not clear versus UNIX

INPUT

IO-27

Open Systems and IBM
OS/2 Positioning

High-end	UNIX	OS/2
Low-end	Windows	

INPUT

IO-28

Open Systems and IBM

- AD/Cycle—cross-environment foundation
  - Could provide common repository
    - MVS, OS/2
    - AS/400, RS/6000—AIX
  - Would support portability

INPUT

IO-29

Open Systems and IBM

- RS/6000—UNIX-based success
  - Success from price/performance
  - In tradition of competitive non-compatible environments

INPUT

IO-30

Open Systems and Digital Equipment

- Current
  - VMS with UNIX coexistence
  - Interchange standards
  - Protect installed base

IO-31   INPUT

Open Systems and Digital Equipment

- Future
  - Native UNIX support
  - UNIX with VMS coexistence
  - UNIX plus proprietary added value

IO-32   INPUT

Open Systems and NCR

- Emerging environment
  - Processor—Intel based at all levels
  - Operating systems—UNIX linked to MS-DOS (etc.)
  - Applications support—multiple third-party DBMSs, etc.
  - Business—UNIX targeted

IO-33   INPUT

Open Systems and Other Systems Manufacturers

- UNIX = survival strategy
- Proprietary operating systems coexist
- Data interchange standards emphasized
- Hardware becomes low cost competitive

IO-34   INPUT

Open Systems
Examples of Openness

Environment	Portable	Interoperable	Vendor Indep.
COBOL	Partial	Limited	Partial
SQL Multiplatform	Partial	Yes	No
DBMS	Yes	Yes (same DBMS)	Yes

IO-35   INPUT

Open Systems
Examples of Openness

Environment	Portable	Interoperable	Vendor Indep.
386	No	Yes	Limited
MS-DOS	Yes	Yes	Yes
UNIX	Partial	Yes	Partial

IO-36   INPUT

Open Systems

Key User Needs versus Operating Environments

User Need	Standard UNIX	Enhanced UNIX	OS/2	MVS
Hardware price/ performance	B	A	B(?)	C
Second source hardware	A	C	B	C

INPUT

Open Systems

Key User Needs versus Operating Environments

User Need	Standard UNIX	Enhanced UNIX	OS/2	MVS
High perf. Oper. environ.	C	A	?	B
Reliable/secure Oper environ.	C	B+	B	B+

INPUT

Open Systems

Key User Needs versus Operating Environments

User Need	Standard UNIX	Enhanced UNIX	OS/2	MVS
Compatibility- other oper. environments	B	C	A-	C
Software avail.	B-	C	A	A

INPUT

Operating Environments
Power versus Reliability

Open Systems

Conclusions

• "Open Systems" ≠ UNIX

• UNIX and OS/2 are undermined by sponsor conflicts

• Intel chips/MS-DOS = happy medium

INPUT

Open Systems

Conclusions

• UNIX value has been hardware based

• CASE and DBMS technology can lock in user

• Optimized environment not really open

INPUT

Open Systems
Conclusions
- Balance between open and value-added
- Users may not want true open systems
- Some vendors will go out of business
- Alliances will be a large factor

IO-43　　　　　　　　　　　　　INPUT

Open Systems
Address Level by Level
- Chip Set
- Processor
- Operating environment and networking
- Data base management
- Applications interface/GUI
- Business applications　　　INPUT

IO-44

Open Systems
- Removes shield of proprietary technology
- Strengthens networking capabilities
- Removes price protection
- Changes the competitive rules

IO-45　　　　　　　　　　　　INPUT

Open systems
are
a target,
not a reality

IO-46　　　　　　　　　　　　INPUT

Advertising Agency Executive
Re Pre-press Printing Technologies

"There has been a real revolution. There used to be only expensive, incompatible equipment … But then … manufacturers began to develop open systems …"

IO-47　　　　　　　　　　　　INPUT